Case 5:25-cv-00843-FB Document 1 Filed 07/15/25 Page 1 of 12

PRISONER'S CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT (Rev. 12/2023)

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE INCOME DISTRICT OF TEXAS DIVISION

JUL 15 2025

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF

Place of Confinement

V.

SA25-CA-0

Defendant's Name and Address

Defendant's Name and Address

(DO NOT USE "ET AL.")

INSTRUCTIONS - READ CAREFULLY

NOTICE:

Your complaint is subject to dismissal unless it conforms to these instructions and this form.

- 1. To start an action you must file an original and one copy of your complaint with the court. You should keep a copy of the complaint for your own records.
- 2. Your complaint must be legibly handwritten, in ink, or typewritten. You, the plaintiff, must sign and declare under penalty of perjury that the facts are correct. If you need additional space, DO NOT USE THE REVERSE SIDE OR BACK SIDE OF ANY PAGE. ATTACH AN ADDITIONAL BLANK PAGE AND WRITE ON IT.
- 3. You must file a separate complaint for each claim you have unless the various claims are all related to the same incident or issue or are all against the same defendant, Rule 18, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Make a short and plain statement of your claim, Rule 8, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. When these forms are completed, mail the original and one copy to the clerk of the United States district court for the appropriate district of Texas in the division where one or more named defendants are located, or where the incident giving rise to your claim for relief occurred. If you are confined in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division (TDCJ-CID), the list labeled as "VENUE LIST" is posted in your unit law library. It is a list of the Texas prison units indicating the appropriate district court, the division and an address list of the divisional clerks.

FILING FEE AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS (IFP)

- 1. In order for your complaint to be filed, it must be accompanied by the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 plus an administrative fee of \$55.00 for a total fee of \$405.00.
- 2. If you do not have the necessary funds to pay the fee in full at this time, you may request permission to proceed in forma pauperis. In this event you must complete the application to proceed in forma pauperis, setting forth information to establish your inability to prepay the fees and costs or give security therefor. You must also include a current six-month history of your inmate trust account. If you are an inmate in TDCJ-CID, you can acquire the application to proceed in forma pauperis and the certificate of inmate trust account, also known as in forma pauperis data sheet, from the law library at your prison unit.
- 3. The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PLRA) provides "... if a prisoner brings a civil action or files an appeal in forma pauperis, the prisoner shall be required to pay the full amount of a filing fee." See 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Thus, the court is required to assess and, when funds exist, collect, the entire filing fee or an initial partial filing fee and monthly installments until the entire amount of the filing fee has been paid by the prisoner. If you submit the application to proceed in forma pauperis, the court will apply 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and, if appropriate, assess and collect the entire filing fee or an initial partial filing fee, then monthly installments from your inmate trust account, until the entire \$350.00 statutory filing fee has been paid. (The \$55.00 administrative fee does not apply to cases proceeding in forma pauperis.)
- 4. If you intend to seek in forma pauperis status, do not send your complaint without an application to proceed in forma pauperis and the certificate of inmate trust account. Complete all essential paperwork before submitting it to the court.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS

It is your responsibility to inform the court of any change of address and its effective date. Such notice should be marked "NOTICE TO THE COURT OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS" and shall not include any motion for any other relief. Failure to file a NOTICE TO THE COURT OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS may result in the dismissal of your complaint pursuant to Rule 41(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

I.	PREV	OI	US LAWSUITS:		
	A.	H	ave you filed any other lawsuit in state or federal court relating to your imprisonment? $\sqrt{{ m YES}}$ NO		
		If	If your answer to "A" is "yes," describe each lawsuit in the space below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, giving the same information.)		
		1.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit: Sect. 16 2013		
		2.	Parties to previous lawsuit:		
			Plaintiff(s) Sergio Jones		
			Defendant(s) SAPD Officer's Tim Acura and J.A. Quintavilla		
		3.	Court: (If federal, name the district; if state, name the county.)		
			Cause number: Civil Lb. SA-13-CV-851-PM		
		5.	Name of judge to whom case was assigned: Pometo A. Mothy, U.S. Magistrole Judge		
			Disposition: (Was the case dismissed, appealed, still pending?)		
		7.	Approximate date of disposition: Manch 49, 2015		

П.	PLACE OF PRESENT CONFINEMENT: WOWWIZIGH Zhut
Ш.	EXHAUSTION OF GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES: Have you exhausted all steps of the institutional grievance procedure? YESNO Attach a copy of your final step of the grievance procedure with the response supplied by the institution.
IV.	PARTIES TO THIS SUIT: A. Name and address of plaintiff: Selgio Jones is confined of the Wandwight York 2665 Joview Holley Blvd. Love lody, Tenas 75851
	B. Full name of each defendant, his official position, his place of employment, and his full mailing address. Defendant #1: Beyon County, where we were occurred
	Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you. NAS A Deliberate whitenewce and excessive use of Force Defendant #2: Jim Acuna, was the SADD Officel, Backe #A020574
	Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you. <u>deliberate includence and excessive uses of Force.</u> Defendant#3: To A. Quintanilla was the SAPD officer. Broke #
	Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you. Cleberale indifference and excessive uses of Force Defendant #4:
	Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you.
	Defendant#5:
	Briefly describe the act(s) or omission(s) of this defendant which you claimed harmed you.

V. STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

State here in a short and plain statement the facts of your case, that is, what happened, where did it happen, when did it happen, and who was involved. Describe how each defendant is involved. You need not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach extra pages if necessary, but remember the complaint must be stated briefly and concisely. IF YOU VIOLATE THIS RULE, THE COURT MAY STRIKE YOUR COMPLAINT.

	T are accorded to the second of the second o					
	I was assoulted by two SAPD officer's unort striked					
	Kneed, Kickel punched in the Head and face, where 2					
	Suffered multiple Social Crowdines due to une Officer's					
	excessive uses of Force when I gave no reason					
	Lor Uneir Cowcluct.					
٠						
VI.	RELIEF:					
	State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.					
	the Grant movelery Namages is the sum of \$40,000,000°					
VII.	GENERAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION:					
	A. State, in complete form, all names you have ever used or been known by including any and all aliases. Seeq to Jones					
	- Sones					
	B. List all TDCJ-CID identification numbers you have ever been assigned and all other state or federal prison or FBI numbers ever assigned to you.					
	<u>*2324033</u>					
VIII.	SANCTIONS:					
	A. Have you been sanctioned by any court as a result of any lawsuit you have filed? YES NO					
	B. If your answer is "yes" give the following in factors in factor					
	B. If your answer is "yes," give the following information for every lawsuit in which sanctions were imposed. (If more than one, use another piece of paper and answer the same questions.)					
	1. Court that imposed sanctions (if federal, give the district and division): Western Dist					
	2. Case number: No. SA = 13 - CV - 851 - PM					
	3. Approximate date sanctions were imposed: where \$ 2015					
	4. Have the sanctions been lifted or otherwise satisfied? YES					

inmate trust account by my custodian until the filing fee is paid.

(Signature of Plaintiff)

WARNING: Plaintiff is advised any false or deliberately misleading information provided in response to the above questions may result in the imposition of sanctions. The sanctions the court may impose include, but are not limited to, monetary sanctions and the dismissal of this action with prejudice.

filing fee and costs assessed by the court, which shall be deducted in accordance with the law from my

Low The United Slates District Court for the Western District of Texas

Son Antonio Division

Sergio Joves Plandin.

٧.

Bexar Couply Jim Acusa J.A. Quintovilla

Defendants)

Civil Adrian No.

SA25CA0843

"July Trial Demand"

To The Howerable Court stid:

Plantiff, Sergio Jones, respectfully Now Comes,
before this Court and Complains of all Defendants mention
herein the above following:

Nature of the Case

This civil rights action fled by Plantiff Sergio Jones in pro-Se, pursund a complaint where (1) excessive use of force by two officers, striking Kneeing, and punching. Plantiff in the head and force also kicking Plantiff multiple time in the face, where Plantiff Jones, suffered multiple Incid fracture by officers druma and Quintavilla of Lockegent acts and Omissions (that valiable) Jones's 5th 6th and 14 Amendment of the United States Constitution, under color of hus, (see Domino v. Texas Dept. of Cruminal Justice, 239 F. 3d. 752, 7545th Cir 2001) (see) McCormick v. Stalcter, 105 F. 3d. 1059, 1061 (5th in 1999) (Abo see) Gobert v. Caldwell, 1463. F. 3d. 339, 351 (5th Cir. 2006).

IN The	United Sta	les Disteic	t Court
Soe the	Western I	District of	Texas
Son	Dutovio	Division	

Sergio Jones

٧.

Bexal County Jim Acusa J.A. QuidAnillA

"July Tard Demand"

Defendants)

- 1). Plantiff Jones respectfully Now Comes before was Court and complain of all Defendants, as mention in the above style nos. participated in violating Plaintiff Jones, due to his plansible Claim of excessive uses of lorce by officers of the BAPD, identify AB Acupa and Ounitavilla and the Salure to provide Due Prodes (300 Bokulis v. Dean, 129 F. 4" 299 at HN12 (5" Cir 2025) U.S. App. Lexis 4373)
- 2) The Court is required to review a prisoner complaint and in forma purposis Application, seeking relief approst a government entity or an officer or employee of a government entity to determine whether summary dismissible is appropriate under 28 21.5.C.z 1915@) and z 1915@)...

3). This Court mount dismiss a complaint or any portion of it that states, a trivolous or malicious claim, that fails to state a claim upon which relied may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a delardard who is immune from such relief. I wales 28 U.S.C. & 1915(e)(2)(B), 28 U.S.C. & 1915(b), - where my order of a federal District Court denying a motion for leave to pieces in forma pauperis is appealable to the Court of appeals, rinder 28 U.S.C. & 1291; Gee Roberts V. United States Dist. (and 339 U.S. of p.845; (also see) Brimar v. Williamson, 246 F. 3d. 515, 517 (5m Cir. 2001) (see provision of 28 U.S.C. & 1915; Brugh v. Taylor. 117 F.3d. 197(5m Cir. 1997) (also see) In re Proson Litig. Reform Add. 105 F.3d. 1131, 1133 (6m Cir. 1997)

Jurisdiction

4). This civil Action is Authorized by 4221.S.C. 1983 - Civil Action for deprivation of Rights; 4221.S.C. 1985 - Conspirary to interfere with civil rights; 4221.S.C. 1986 - Action for begled to prevent... This Court has jurisoliction under 2821.S.C. 1331 and 31343. Plantiff Jones seeks declarationy relief pursuant to 2821.S.C. 32201 and 52703. Plantiff's Claim for injunction relief pro authorized by 2821.S.C. 3283 and 5281 and File 65 of the federal Rule of Civil Procedure. Handle Jones 1440ke the Courds supplement jurisdiction pursuant to 2821.S.C. 1367(a) to consider the slabe law claims.

Venue

- 5). The Newve 1s proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. & 1391(b) and & 1391(c), As the events or omissions giving Rise to the Claim occurred in this judicine District. And there can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon "one" because of his exercise of Constitution reights. Gee Sherar v. Cullen 481 F. 2d. 946 (940Cir. 1973) (FII. 1944 v. American Mila Can, 138 F. 2d. 1878 (540Cir. 1943). The reight to file a lawbut pro-le is one of the most impostant Rights under the Constitution and law. (Filmore v. McCammon 1986, 640 F. Supp. 905.
- 6). In this Complant Plantill Jones, must contain a short and plan statement of the Claim showing that his plending entitled him to relief (see Dehcroft v. Ighal 50% 21.8. in 678 as the Count held in Twomby. 550 21.8. 544, 127 S.Ct. 1955 167 L.Ed. 2d. 929 (HNIO) (LEd. HNIO). standard rule 8. where a plantill Complant must. Sufficient, lactual matters, accepted as true to state a Claim to relief that is plausible on its face. id. at 570, 127 S.Ct. 1955 167 L.Ed. 2d. 929 (citual) Papasan v. Allain, 478 21.8, 265, 286 106 3.Ct. 2932, 92 L.Ed. 209 (1986).
- 7). Planthal Jones, plends Cacts that are merely consistent with A defendants) liability it stop short of the line between "possibility" and "plansibility" of entitlement to izelies, id. at 557 127 S.C. 1955, 167 L.Ed. 20. 929 (brocket omitted)

- 8) Plantil Jones, pleads a plausible Claim of Excessive 2005 of Force, Collectively Thom Officer's Jim Actual and J. A. Quintavilla, unat were government official deleadants) Universal out individual action violating the Constitution and law. Gee Tongreti v. Bachmann 983 F.30. 609, 618 (200 Cir. 2020) (quoting) Ashcrath. v. Ighal 556 U.S. 662 676, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 173 L.Ed. 2d. 868 (2009) which process satisfies the Due Phocess Clause the Supreme Court has distinguished between (A) Claim based on established state procedual and (B) Claim based on ramdom, unauthorized act by the employee's. Procedual v. New York City. Ed. of Election, 1970 F. 30, 458 (2001Cir. 2006)
- (i) Plandell Jones 3 1983; 3 1985; 3 1986 Complaint is govern by Movell, where we Supreme Court held that (1/11) municipalities and other local government zinit could be sued as "person" rudge section 1983, when the perion that is alleged to be runconstitutional implements or executes a policy statement ordinance, regulation or decision officially adopted and promulated by the body's officers. (see blowell supra, 136 21.9. at 690, 98 S.C.I. 2036, 2038 (quoting) Owen v. Inelependence 589 F. 2d. 335, 337, 338 ((see footnote!) also where officers of the Court have to immunity from liability when violating constitutional right because that rights of action (readed by statute relating to deprivation rudger color of Iaw, of the United States and some claims which are based solely on statutory violation of law and come claims which are based solely on statutory violation of lawin rights in some capable to the claim, that claimant had been deprived all their rights in some capable to the claim, that claimant had been deprived all their rights in some capable to which they were entitled. Owen v.

 Independence 100 vol. Supreme Court report 1398: (1982); blain v. Thibouto 100 vol. Supreme Court report 1398: (1982); blain v. Thibouto 100 vol. Supreme Court report 1398: (1982); blain v. Thibouto 100 vol. Supreme Court report 1398: (1982); blain v. Thibouto

IN the Instant Case:

On May 22, 2013, Planshill Jones was subjected to a traffic stop. Jones was searched and handkulled. He aftempted to evade arrest by running, but he was subdued by Oldicer Jim alcund and J. U. Quintanilla of the Jan Andronio Police Dept., Jones was not aggressive but (Both) Officers and face Jones with starkes, knees and punches to the Head and face. Officer Quintanilla Kicked Jones multiple time in the face. Jones suffered multiple lacind fractures (see Jones to the face).

Plantiff Jones, asserts, to disregard the Constitution I am and to violate the same creates a sure liability upon the one involved shate officer may be new personally liable for damners based upon actions taken in their afficient capacity. Hater v. Melo, 502 U.S., 21 (1991).

PRAyer for Relief

Wherefore I Sergio Jones, PRO-Se PRAYS and Court is adamonably opposed to all misconduct and illegial act and will remain firm in Promoting constitutional law until condenses all unlawful acts committed by state and private actors unat violates the constitutional and civil right and Grant the damage relief requested.

Respectfully Submitted Seyn Dr. Physil / pro-Se. Centificate of Service

of the foregoing has been jost mail on the 8 day of July 20 25

Lenge Jan pico-Se.